Polymers, Percolation and Logarithmic Minimal Models

Budapest, 4 September 2013

Paul A. Pearce

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne



the university of MELBOURNE





Australian Government Australian Research Council







Outline

- The Ising model of a magnet as a rational CFT with a finite operator content.
- Yang-Baxter integrability and Corner Transfer Matrices (CTMs).
- RSOS lattice models and minimal models $\mathcal{M}(m, m')$ as simplest rational CFTs.
- RSOS Generalized Order Parameters (GOPs) and their associated critical exponents and conformal weights.

• Logarithmic minimal models $\mathcal{LM}(p, p')$ as non-rational CFTs with an infinite number of scaling operators.

- Dense polymers and percolation as simplest examples of logarithmic theories.
- The logarithmic limit $\lim_{m,m'\to\infty, \ \frac{m}{m'}\to\frac{p}{p'}} \mathcal{M}(m,m') = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}(p,p')$

• Off-critical solution of the logarithmic minimal models. Logarithmic limit of RSOS GOPs, associated critical exponents and conformal weights.

References:

J.Rasmussen, Logarithmic limits of minimal models, Nucl. Phys. B701 (2004) 516–528;
 Jordan cells in logarithmic limits of conformal field theory, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A22 (2007) 67–82.

- PAP, J.Rasmussen, J.-B.Zuber, Logarithmic minimal models, J.Stat.Mech. P11017 (2006)
- PAP, J.Rasmussen, *Solvable critical dense polymers*, J.Stat.Mech. P02015 (2007)
- J.Rasmussen, PAP, *Fusion algebra of critical percolation*, J.Stat.Mech. P09002 (2007)
- PAP, K.A.Seaton, J.Stat.Mech. P09014 (2012) arXiv:1207.0259

Square Lattice Ising Model



• Statistical Weights:
$$J, K =$$
 interaction strengths
• $T = Ae^{J/kT}$, $T = Ae^{J/kT}$, $T = Ae^{K/kT}$
• The weights can be parametrized as
 $W\begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} = \frac{s(\lambda - u)}{s(\lambda)} \delta(a, c) + \frac{s(u)}{s(\lambda)} \sqrt{\frac{s(a\lambda)s(c\lambda)}{s(b\lambda)s(d\lambda)}} \delta(b, d)$
heights: $a, b, c, d = 1, 2, 3$; spins: $\sigma = 2 - a = 0, \pm 1$
 $\lambda = \frac{\pi}{4}$, $s(u) = \vartheta_1(u, t)$, $0 \le u \le \lambda$, $0 \le t \le 1$
 $t^2 \sim T - T_c$, $\sinh \frac{2J}{kT_c} \sinh \frac{2K}{kT_c} = 1$
 $T =$ temperature, $u =$ spatial anisotropy $\sim \frac{J}{K}$
The partition function is $Z_N = \sum_{\text{spins faces}} W\begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} u$

Exact Solution of the Ising Model

• The Ising model was solved exactly in 1944 by Onsager for the limiting free energy f

$$-\frac{f}{kT} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log Z_N, \qquad N = \# \text{spins}$$

The specific heat f''(T) diverges logarithmically at $T = T_c$ with a critical exponent $\alpha = 0$

$$f(T) \sim (T - T_c)^{2-\alpha}, \qquad T - T_c \to 0, \qquad f''(T) \sim \log(T - T_c), \qquad \alpha = 0_{\log}$$

The magnetization of the Ising model was calculated exactly in 1949 by C.N. Yang

$$m = \langle \sigma \rangle_{+} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{\text{spins faces}} \prod_{\text{faces}} \sigma W \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} | u \rangle}{\sum_{\text{spins faces}} \prod_{\text{faces}} W \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} | u \rangle}$$
The magnetization vanishes above T_c with spontaneous magnetization below T_c

$$m \sim (T_c - T)^{\beta}, \qquad T - T_c \to 0^{-}, \qquad \beta = 1/8$$
This One Point Function (OPF) is an example of an order parameter.
$$m = 0$$

$$T_c$$

• Critical exponents such as α, β are *universal* (independent of the anisotropy or lattice structure) and described by a Conformal Field Theory (CFT) in the continuum scaling limit.

RSOS Models

 The statistical weights of the Restricted Solid-On-Solid (RSOS) models (Andrews-Baxter-Forrester, Forrester-Baxter 1984) are

$$W\begin{pmatrix}a\pm1&a\\a&a\mp1\end{vmatrix}|u\rangle = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a\pm1}{a}\overset{a}{a} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a\pm1}{a}\overset{a}{a} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{a} \overset{a}{a} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{a} \overset{a}{a} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{a} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{a} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{a} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{a} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{a} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{a} = \frac{s(\lambda-u)}{s(\lambda)}\overset{a}{$$

Here $s(u) = \vartheta_1(u,t)$, $c(u) = \vartheta_4(u,t)$ are elliptic theta functions. At criticality, t = 0, $s(u) \mapsto \sin u$, $c(u) \mapsto 1$. The decomposition into decorated tiles allows to describe the nonlocal statistics of height clusters and loop connectivities (percolation properties).

• The model dependent *crossing parameter* λ is

$$\lambda = rac{(m'-m)\pi}{m'}, \qquad 2 \leq m < m', \qquad m,m' ext{ coprime}, \qquad a = 1,2,\ldots,m'-1$$

• In the continuum scaling limit, the critical RSOS models realize the minimal models $\mathcal{M}(m, m')$ (Belavin-Polyakov-Zamolodchikov 1984) — the simplest rational CFTs. Ising is $\mathcal{M}(3, 4)$.

Yang-Baxter Integrability

• A 2-d lattice model is exactly solvable if the face weights satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation



At centre spin:

$$(\lambda - u) + v + (\lambda - v + u) = 2\lambda$$

$$\vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2 + \vartheta_3 = 2\pi$$

The RSOS models satisfy YBE and are integrable. The interactions depend on the *spatial* anisotropy u. The geometry of a face (rhombus) is fixed by the anisotropy angle

$$\vartheta = \frac{\pi(\lambda - u)}{\lambda}$$
 = angle in marked corner, λ = crossing parameter

- YBE implies commuting row and Corner Transfer Matrices (CTMs) and hence integrability.
- OPFs are calculated using Baxter's CTMs

$$P_{r,s} = \langle \delta(a,s) \rangle_r = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} SABCD}{\operatorname{Tr} ABCD}$$

where S fixes the center height a to the height s and r labels the boundary conditions with heights b = r and c = r+1.

• For the Ising model, the magnetization is

 $m = P_{1,1} - P_{1,3} = \langle \delta(a,1) \rangle_1 - \langle \delta(a,3) \rangle_1$

with spins $b, c \approx +, 0$ on the boundary.



Ising Model Operator Content

• The Ising CFT $\mathcal{M}(3,4)$ is characterized by a *central charge* $c = \frac{1}{2}$. It admits three operators $\{I, \sigma, \varepsilon\}$ with associated *conformal dimensions* Δ and conjugate boundary conditions:



• The identity conformal dimension is $\Delta_I = \Delta_{1,1} \equiv \Delta_{2,3} = 0$. Other conformal dimensions are determined by critical exponent scaling relations

$$\psi = \text{free energy} \sim (T - T_c)^{2-\alpha}, \qquad \alpha = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} = \Delta_{1,3} \equiv \Delta_{2,1}$$
$$m = \text{magnetization} \sim (T - T_c)^{\beta}, \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{8} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\beta = \frac{1}{16} = \Delta_{1,2} \equiv \Delta_{2,2}$$

• The conformal dimensions $\Delta = \Delta_{r,s}$ and operator content are neatly encoded in a 2 × 3 Kac table with the symmetry $\Delta_{r,s} = \Delta_{m-r,m'-s}$. The Kac labels (r,s) coincide with the CTM boundary condition labels.

• The conformal data consists of the central charge $c = \frac{1}{2}$, the conformal dimensions $\Delta_{r,s}$ and the characters (generating functions of the conformal spectra)

$$ch_{1,1}(q) = ch_0(q), \quad ch_{1,2}(q) = ch_{\frac{1}{16}}(q), \quad ch_{2,1}(q) = ch_{\frac{1}{2}}(q)$$



r

Minimal Model Operator Content

• In the continuum scaling limit, the critical RSOS models realize the minimal models — the simplest rational CFTs with a finite number of scaling operators. The conformal data is

$$c = 1 - \frac{6(m - m')^2}{mm'}$$
$$\Delta_{r,s}^{m,m'} = \frac{(rm' - sm)^2 - (m - m')^2}{4mm'}$$
$$ch_{r,s}^{m,m'}(q) = \frac{q^{-\frac{c}{24} + \Delta_{r,s}^{m,m'}}}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[q^{k(kmm' + rm' - sm)} - q^{(km+r)(km' + s)}\right]$$

• Kac tables of conformal weights $\Delta_{r,s}^{m,m'}$ for $\mathcal{M}(3,4)$, $\mathcal{M}(2,5)$, $\mathcal{M}(4,7)$, $\mathcal{M}(5,7)$. The pink boxes are associated with order parameters.



0-8

One Point Functions of RSOS Models

The RSOS OPFs were calculated by Forrester-Baxter using CTMs

$$P_{r,s} = \langle \delta(a,s) \rangle_b = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} SABCD}{\operatorname{Tr} ABCD}$$

where S fixes the center height a to the value s. The m-1 groundstates are labelled by $b = b(r) = \lfloor \frac{rm'}{m} \rfloor$ with r = 1, 2, ..., m-1. Explicitly,

$$P_{r,s} = \frac{q^{\frac{c}{24} - \Delta_{r,s}^{m,m'} + \frac{(s-r)(s-r-1)}{4}} E(q^{\frac{s}{2}}, q^{\frac{m'}{2(m'-m)}})(q)_{\infty}}{E(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}, q^{2}) E(q^{\frac{r}{2}}, q^{\frac{m}{2(m'-m)}})} \operatorname{ch}_{r,s}^{m,m'}(q)}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2m}{m'}} \frac{\eta(t^{\frac{m'}{m'-m}}) \vartheta_{1}(\frac{s\pi(m'-m)}{m'}, t)}{\vartheta_{4}(0, t^{\frac{m'}{m'-m}}) \vartheta_{1}(\frac{\pi r(m'-m)}{m}, t^{\frac{m'}{m}})} \sum_{(r's') \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{S}_{rs;r's'} \operatorname{ch}_{r',s'}^{m,m'}(t^{\frac{m'}{m'-m}})}$$

• The low-temperature nome is $q = e^{-4\pi\lambda/\epsilon}$ and the critical nome is $t = e^{-\epsilon}$

$$E(x,q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{n-1}x)(1 - q^n x^{-1})(1 - q^n), \quad \eta(q) = q^{1/24}(q)_{\infty}, \quad (q)_{\infty} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$$

and the modular matrix $\ensuremath{\mathcal{S}}$ is

$$S_{rs;r's'} = \sqrt{\frac{8}{mm'}} (-1)^{(r'+s')(r+s)} \sin \frac{\pi(m'-m)rr'}{m} \sin \frac{\pi(m'-m)ss'}{m'}$$

• The $\frac{1}{2}(m-1)(m'-1)$ independent OPFs satisfy $P_{m-r,m'-s} = P_{r,s}$ so we restrict (r,s) to the bottom-left \mathcal{J} of the Kac table.

Critical Exponents of Generalized Order Parameters

• For m'-m > 1, some face weights are negative and all OPFs diverge at criticality $t \to 0$

$$P_{r,s} \sim (t^{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}})^{\Delta_{r_0,s_0}^{m,m'}}, \qquad \Delta_{r_0,s_0}^{m,m'} = \frac{1 - (m' - m)^2}{4mm'} = \min_{(r,s) \in \mathcal{J}} \Delta_{r,s}^{m,m'} < \mathbf{0}, \qquad m' - m > 1$$

Forrester-Baxter remark that, it is not possible to define order parameters in the usual sense.

• Generalizing Huse 1984, we introduce Generalized Order Parameters (GOPs)

$$R_{r'',s''} = \sum_{(r,s)\in\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{S}_{r''s'';rs} \frac{\sin\frac{\pi r(m'-m)}{m}}{\sin\frac{\pi s(m'-m)}{m'}} P_{r,s}$$

Since $S^2 = I$, the modular matrix S effectively undoes the modular S matrix introduced by the conjugate modulus transformation.

• Defining new observables $\mathcal{O}_{r,s}$ as ratios of the GOPs yields

$$\mathcal{O}_{r,s} = \frac{R_{r,s}}{R_{1,1}} \sim (t^{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}})^{\Delta_{r,s}^{m,m'}} + (t^{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}})^{\Delta_{r_0,s_0}^{m,m'}} O(t^2), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{r,s} \sim (t^2)^{\beta_{r,s}}$$

where t^2 measures the departure from criticality. The second term is of smaller order if (r, s) satisfies $(m'r - ms)^2 < 1 + 8m(m' - m)$ yielding the critical exponents

$$\beta_{r,s} = (2-\alpha)\Delta_{r,s}^{m,m'} = \frac{(rm'-sm)^2 - (m'-m)^2}{8m(m'-m)}, \qquad 2-\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2\lambda} = \frac{m'}{2(m'-m)}$$

Here α comes from the behaviour of the known free energy.

Plots of Order Parameters for $\mathcal{M}(4,7)$



• Plot of the observables $\mathcal{O}_{r,s}$, as a function of t, for the minimal model $\mathcal{M}(4,7)$. From top to bottom, we plot $\mathcal{O}_{1,1}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}_{2,3}}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}_{1,2}}, \mathcal{O}_{1,3}, \mathcal{O}_{2,2}, \mathcal{O}_{1,4}$ corresponding to $|\Delta_{r,s}| = 0, \frac{5}{112}, \frac{1}{14}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{27}{112}, \frac{9}{14}$ in increasing order with critical exponents $\beta_{r,s} = \frac{7}{6}\Delta_{r,s}$. As expected for order parameters, these observables are nonnegative, vanish at criticality and are increasing functions of t.

Critical Logarithmic Minimal Models $\mathcal{LM}(p, p')$

• The face operators are defined in the planar Temperley-Lieb algebra (Jones 1999) by

$$X(u) = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\sin(\lambda - u)}{\sin \lambda} + \frac{\sin u}{\sin \lambda} \\ \vdots \\ X_j(u) = \frac{\sin(\lambda - u)}{\sin \lambda} I + \frac{\sin u}{\sin \lambda} e_j$$

$$1 \le p < p' \text{ coprime integers,} \qquad \lambda = \frac{(p' - p)\pi}{p'} = \text{ crossing parameter}$$

$$u = \text{ spectral parameter,} \qquad \beta = 2 \cos \lambda = \text{ fugacity of loops}$$

$$Z_N = \sum_{\text{loop configs}} \left[\frac{\sin(\lambda - u)}{\sin \lambda} \right]^{N_1} \left[\frac{\sin u}{\sin \lambda} \right]^{N_2} \beta^{\# \text{ loops}}$$

Planar Algebra
(Temperley-Lieb Algebra)
VBE
Nonlocal Statistical Mechanics
(Yang-Baxter Integrable Link Models)
continuum
limit
Logarithmic CFTs
(Logarithmic Minimal Models)

Temperley-Lieb Algebra

 $\begin{cases} Critical RSOS (minimal) models = height representation of TL \\ Critical logarithmic minimal models = loop representation of TL \end{cases}$

• The linear TL algebra (Temperley-Lieb 1971) is generated by e_1, \ldots, e_{N-1} and the identity I acting on N strings

$$\begin{cases} e_j^2 \ = \ \beta \ e_j, \\ e_j \ e_k \ e_j \ = \ e_j, \\ e_j \ e_k \ = \ e_k \ e_j, \end{cases} |j-k| = 1, \qquad j,k = 1,2,\dots,N-1; \qquad \beta = 2\cos\lambda \\ e_j \ e_k \ = \ e_k \ e_j, \qquad |j-k| > 1 \end{cases}$$

• In the loop representation, the TL generators e_j are given graphically by monoids

• Following Jones (1999), the TL algebra extends to a planar algebra where multiplication (in arbitrary directions) is implemented diagrammatically.

Polymers and Percolation on the Lattice

Critical Dense Polymers: de Gennes 1972

$$(p, p') = (1, 2), \qquad \lambda = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$d_{path}^{SLE} = 2 - 2\Delta_{p,p'-1} = 2, \qquad \kappa = \frac{4p'}{n} = 8$$

 $\Delta_{1,1} = 0$ lies outside rational $\mathcal{M}(1,2)$ Kac table

 $\beta = 0 \Rightarrow$ no loops \Rightarrow space filling dense polymer (Peano curve)

Critical Percolation: Broadbent/Hammersley 1957 $(p, p') = (2, 3), \quad \lambda = \frac{\pi}{3} = 2u$

$$d_{path}^{SLE} = 2 - 2\Delta_{p,p'-1} = \frac{7}{4} < 2, \qquad \kappa = \frac{4p'}{p} = 6$$

 $\Delta_{2,2} = \frac{1}{8}$ lies outside rational $\mathcal{M}(2,3)$ Kac table

 $\beta = 1 \Rightarrow$ local stochastic process Kesten 1980: Critical probability = $p_c = \sin(\lambda - u) = \sin u = \frac{1}{2}$ **Duplantier 1988:** Loop model \Leftrightarrow Critical bond percolation on the blue square lattice

Critical Dense Polymer $\mathcal{LM}(1,2)$ Kac Table

• Central charge: (p, p') = (1, 2)

$$c = 1 - \frac{6(p - p')^2}{pp'} = -2$$

 Infinitely extended Kac table of conformal weights:

$$\Delta_{r,s} = \frac{(p'r - ps)^2 - (p - p')^2}{4pp'}$$
$$= \frac{(2r - s)^2 - 1}{8}, \qquad r, s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

• Kac representation characters:

$$\chi_{r,s}(q) = q^{-c/24} \frac{q^{\Delta_{r,s}}(1-q^{rs})}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)}$$

• The conformal weights in pink shaded boxes are associated to order parameters.

• Note $\mathcal{M}(1,2)$ has an empty Kac table.

8	÷	÷	:	÷	:	÷	
10	<u>63</u> 8	<u>35</u> 8	<u>15</u> 8	<u>3</u> 8	$-\frac{1}{8}$	<u>3</u> 8	
9	6	3	1	0	0	1	•••
8	<u>35</u> 8	<u>15</u> 8	8 6	$-\frac{1}{8}$	8 <mark>1</mark> 6	<u>15</u> 8	
7	3	1	0	0	1	3	
6	<u>15</u> 8	<u>3</u> 8	$-\frac{1}{8}$	<u>3 </u> 8	<u>15</u> 8	<u>35</u> 8	•••
5	1	0	0	1	3	6	•••
4	<u>3 ∞</u>	$-\frac{1}{8}$	3 <u>1</u> 8	<u>15</u> 8	<u>35</u> 8	<u>63</u> 8	•••
3	0	0	1	3	6	10	•••
2	$-\frac{1}{8}$	<u>3 </u> 8	<u>15</u> 8	<u>35</u> 8	<u>63</u> 8	<u>99</u> 8	•••
1	0	1	3	6	10	15	•••
	1	2	3	4	5	6	r

Critical Percolation $\mathcal{LM}(2,3)$ Kac Table

• Central charge: (p, p') = (2, 3)

$$c = 1 - \frac{6(p - p')^2}{pp'} = 0$$

 Infinitely extended Kac table of conformal weights:

$$\Delta_{r,s} = \frac{(p'r - ps)^2 - (p - p')^2}{4pp'}$$
$$= \frac{(3r - 2s)^2 - 1}{24}, \qquad r, s = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

• Kac representation characters:

$$\chi_{r,s}(q) = q^{-c/24} \frac{q^{\Delta_{r,s}}(1-q^{rs})}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)}$$

• The conformal weights in pink shaded boxes are associated to order parameters.

÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ : \boldsymbol{s} . . . <u>65</u> 8 $\frac{21}{8}$ $\frac{1}{8}$ 10 12 5 . . . 1 <u>28</u> 3 <u>143</u> 24 <u>10</u> 3 <u>35</u> 24 $-\frac{1}{24}$ <u>1</u> 3 9 . . . <u>33</u> 8 <u>5</u> 8 $\frac{1}{8}$ 7 2 0 8 • • • <u>21</u> 8 <u>5</u> 8 $\frac{1}{8}$ 7 5 1 0 • • • <u>35</u> 24 $\frac{10}{3}$ $-\frac{1}{24}$ <u>1</u> 3 <u>35</u> 24 $\frac{1}{3}$ 6 • • • <u>5</u> 8 $\frac{21}{8}$ $\frac{1}{8}$ 5 2 0 1 • • • <u>5</u> 8 <u>33</u> 8 $\frac{1}{8}$ 0 2 4 . . . 1 <u>35</u> 24 <u>10</u> 3 <u>143</u> 24 $\frac{1}{3}$ $-\frac{1}{24}$ <u>1</u> 3 3 • • • <u>21</u> 8 <u>65</u> 8 $\frac{1}{8}$ 2 5 0 1 • • • <u>33</u> 8 <u>5</u> 8 <u>85</u> 8 2 7 0 1 • • • 2 3 1 4 5 6 r

Rational $\mathcal{M}(2,3)$ Kac table

Logarithmic Limit

• Symbolically, the "*logarithmic limit*" (Rasmussen 2004, 2007) of the minimal CFTs is

$$\lim_{m,m'\to\infty, \ \frac{m}{m'}\to\frac{p}{p'}} \mathcal{M}(m,m') = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}(p,p'), \qquad 1 \le p < p', \quad p,p' \text{ coprime}$$

The limit is taken through coprime pairs (m, m') in the continuum scaling limit, after the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$.

 Nontrivial Jordan cells can emerge in this limit, but the equality means identification of the spectra of these CFTs:

$$c^{m,m'} = 1 - \frac{6(m-m')^2}{mm'} \to 1 - \frac{6(p-p')^2}{pp'} = c^{p,p'}$$
$$\Delta_{r,s}^{m,m'} = \frac{(rm'-sm)^2 - (m-m')^2}{4mm'} \to \frac{(rp'-sp)^2 - (p-p')^2}{4pp'} = \Delta_{r,s}^{p,p'}$$
$$ch_{r,s}^{m,m'}(q) = \frac{q^{-\frac{c}{24} + \Delta_{r,s}^{m,m'}}}{(q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[q^{k(kmm'+rm'-sm)} - q^{(km+r)(km'+s)} \right] \to q^{-\frac{c}{24} + \Delta_{r,s}^{p,p'}} \frac{(1-q^{rs})}{(q)_{\infty}} = \chi_{r,s}^{p,p'}(q)$$

• The logarithmic limit can be applied to the minimal (RSOS) models off-criticality

$$\mathcal{M}(m,m') \xrightarrow{t \sim \varphi_{1,3}} \mathcal{M}(m,m';t)$$
$$\log \downarrow \qquad \qquad \log \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}(p,p') \xrightarrow{t \sim \varphi_{1,3}} \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}(p,p';t)$$

Logarithmic Limit of GOPs

• There is no simple conjugate modulus transformation on the infinity of logarithmic Kac characters $\chi_{r,s}^{p,p'}(q)$ since the entries of the S matrix have a common prefactor $\sqrt{\frac{8}{mm'}}$ which vanishes as $m, m' \to \infty$.

• Even so, the logarithmic limit of the observables $\mathcal{O}_{r,s}$ (in which the problematic prefactors cancel out in the ratio) are well defined and admit a Taylor expansion about t = 0

$$\mathcal{O}_{r,s}^{\infty} = \lim_{m,m'\to\infty, \ \frac{m}{m'}\to\frac{p}{p'}} \frac{R_{r,s}}{R_{1,1}} \sim (t^{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}})^{\Delta_{r,s}^{p,p'}} + (t^{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}})^{\Delta_{r_0,s_0}^{p,p'}} O(t^2), \qquad \lambda = \frac{(p'-p)\pi}{p'}$$

• We conclude that, corresponding to the perturbation off-criticality,

$$\mathcal{O}_{r,s}^{\infty} \sim (t^2)^{\beta_{r,s}}, \qquad \beta_{r,s} = (2-\alpha)\Delta_{r,s}^{p,p'} = \frac{(rp'-sp)^2 - (p'-p)^2}{8p(p'-p)}, \qquad 2-\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2\lambda} = \frac{p'}{2(p'-p)}$$

 This constructs limiting observables with associated critical exponents for all Kac conformal weights satisfying

$$\Delta_{r,s}^{p,p'} < \Delta_{r_0,s_0}^{p,p'} + \frac{2(p'-p)}{p'} = \frac{(p'-p)(9p-p')}{4pp'}$$

These occur for (r, s) in the infinitely extended Kac tables satisfying

$$(p'r - ps)^2 < 8p(p' - p)$$

Off-Critical Dense Polymers

• Typical configurations with heights suppressed:



 $T \rightarrow 0 \quad (t \rightarrow 1)$ Small closed loops (no long chains) Ordered heights (infinite # of flat groundstates) $T \rightarrow T_c \quad (t \rightarrow 0)$ Long polymer chains (no closed loops) Disordered RSOS heights

• The approach to criticality and critical exponents depend on the choice of the groundstate labelled by the Kac label r = 1, 2, 3, ... or the height b = 2, 4, 6, ...

• This underscores the existence of an infinite number of distinct critical exponents, order parameters and scaling operators — the theory is not rational!

Summary and Outlook

• In two dimensions, simple statistical systems with local degrees of freedom, such as the Ising model of a magnet, are *rational theories* with a *finite* number of *scaling operators*. The simplest such theories are the *minimal models* $\mathcal{M}(m, m')$ associated with the RSOS lattice models. The operator content and associated critical exponents are encoded in a *finite* Kac table of conformal dimensions.

• Two dimensional systems with nonlocal degrees of freedom, such as *polymers and percolation*, are not rational theories — they are *logarithmic theories* with an infinite number of scaling operators. The simplest such theories are the *logarithmic minimal models* $\mathcal{LM}(p, p')$. An infinite number of scaling operators and associated critical exponents are encoded in an *infinitely extended Kac table*.

• The logarithmic minimal models can be obtained as a limit of the minimal models

$$\lim_{m,m'\to\infty, \ \frac{m}{m'}\to\frac{p}{p'}} \mathcal{M}(m,m') = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}(p,p'), \qquad 1 \le p < p', \quad p,p' \text{ coprime}$$

The logarithmic limit of certain GOPs $\mathcal{O}_{r,s}$ yield critical exponents $\beta_{r,s}$ associated with conformal weights $\Delta_{r,s}^{p,p'}$ of the logarithmic minimal models $\mathcal{LM}(p,p')$ in the infinitely extended Kac table.

• We conclude that *generalized models of polymers and percolation* are exactly solvable both *at criticality and off-criticality!*

• We described the integrable $\varphi_{1,3}$ off-critical perturbation but the $\varphi_{2,1}$ and $\varphi_{1,2}$ perturbations are also integrable by studying *dilute lattice models* (Warnaar et al 1992/94).

Logarithmic Ising and Yang-Lee Kac Tables

s		÷	÷	:	÷		
10	<u>225</u> 16	<u>161</u> 16	<u>323</u> 48	<u>65</u> 16	<u>33</u> 16	<u>35</u> 48	•••
9	11	<u>15</u> 2	$\frac{14}{3}$	<u>5</u> 2	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	
8	<u>133</u> 16	<u>85</u> 16	<u>143</u> 48	<u>21</u> 16	<u>5</u> 16	$-\frac{1}{48}$	
7	6	<u>7</u> 2	5 <u> </u> 3	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	<u>1</u> 6	
6	<u>65</u> 16	<u>33</u> 16	<u>35</u> 48	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	<u>35</u> 48	
5	<u>5</u> 2	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>5</u> 3	•••
4	<u>21</u> 16	<u>5</u> 16	$-\frac{1}{48}$	<u>5</u> 16	<u>21</u> 16	<u>143</u> 48	•••
3	<u>1</u> 2	0	$\frac{1}{6}$	1	<u>5</u> 2	$\frac{14}{3}$	•••
2	$\frac{1}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	<u>35</u> 48	<u>33</u> 16	<u>65</u> 16	<u>323</u> 48	•••
1	0	<u>1</u> 2	<u>5</u> 3	<u>7</u> 2	6	<u>55</u> 6	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	r

8	÷	E	:	:	:	E	
10	<u>27</u> 5	<u>91</u> 40	<u>2</u> 5	$-\frac{9}{40}$	<u>2</u> 5	<u>91</u> 40	••••
9	4	$\frac{11}{8}$	0	$-\frac{1}{8}$	1	<u>27</u> 8	
8	<u>14</u> 5	<u>27</u> 40	$-\frac{1}{5}$	<u>7</u> 40	<u>9</u> 5	<u>187</u> 40	
7	<u>9</u> 5	<u>7</u> 40	$-\frac{1}{5}$	<u>27</u> 40	<u>14</u> 5	<u>247</u> 40	
6	1	$-\frac{1}{8}$	0	$\frac{11}{8}$	4	<u>63</u> 8	
5	<u>2</u> 5	$-\frac{9}{40}$	2 <u> </u> 5	<u>91</u> 40	<u>27</u> 5	<u>391</u> 40	
4	0	$-\frac{1}{8}$	1	<u>27</u> 8	7	<u>95</u> 8	
3	$-\frac{1}{5}$	<u>7</u> 40	<u>9</u> 5	<u>187</u> 40	<u>44</u> 5	<u>567</u> 40	•••
2	$-\frac{1}{5}$	<u>27</u> 40	<u>14</u> 5	<u>247</u> 40	<u>54</u> 5	<u>667</u> 40	
1	0	$\frac{11}{8}$	4	<u>63</u> 8	13	<u>155</u> 8	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	r

Dense Polymer Virasoro Fusion Algebra

• The fundamental Virasoro fusion algebra of critical dense polymers $\mathcal{LM}(1,2)$ is

$$\left\langle (2,1),(1,2) \right\rangle \;=\; \left\langle (r,1),(1,2k),\mathcal{R}_k;\;r,k\in\mathbb{N} \right
angle$$

• With the identifications $(k, 2k') \equiv (k', 2k)$, the fusion rules obtained empirically from the lattice are commutative, associative and agree with Gaberdiel and Kausch (1996)

$$(r,1) \otimes (r',1) = \bigoplus_{\substack{j=|r-r'|+1, \text{ by } 2}}^{r+r'-1} (j,1)$$

$$(1,2k) \otimes (1,2k') = \bigoplus_{\substack{j=|k-k'|+1, \text{ by } 2}}^{k+k'-1} \mathcal{R}_j$$

$$(1,2k) \otimes \mathcal{R}_{k'} = \bigoplus_{\substack{j=|k-k'|}}^{k+k'} \delta_{j,\{k,k'\}}^{(2)} (1,2j)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_k \otimes \mathcal{R}_{k'} = \bigoplus_{\substack{j=|k-k'|}}^{k+k'} \delta_{j,\{k,k'\}}^{(2)} \mathcal{R}_j$$

$$(r,1) \otimes (1,2k) = \bigoplus_{\substack{j=|r-k|+1, \text{ by } 2}}^{r+k-1} (1,2j) = (r,2k)$$

$$(r,1) \otimes \mathcal{R}_k = \bigoplus_{\substack{j=|r-k|+1, \text{ by } 2}}^{r+k-1} \mathcal{R}_j$$

$$\mathcal{R}_k = \text{indecomposable} = (1, 2k-1) \oplus_i (1, 2k+1),$$

8	:	:	:	:	:	÷	
10	<u>63</u> 8	<u>35</u> 8	<u>15</u> 8	<u>3</u> 8	$-\frac{1}{8}$	<u>3</u> 8	•••
9	6	З	1	0	0	1	•••
8	<u>35</u> 8	<u>15</u> 8	<u>3</u> 8	$-\frac{1}{8}$	<u>3</u> 8	<u>15</u> 8	•••
7	3	1	0	0	1	3	•••
6	<u>15</u> 8	<u>3</u> 8	$-\frac{1}{8}$	<u>3</u> 8	<u>15</u> 8	<u>35</u> 8	•••
5	1	0	0	1	3	6	•••
4	<u>3 8</u>	$-\frac{1}{8}$	<u>3</u> 8	<u>15</u> 8	<u>35</u> 8	<u>63</u> 8	•••
3	0	0	1	3	6	10	•••
2	$-\frac{1}{8}$	∾ <u> </u> ∞	<u>15</u> 8	<u>35</u> 8	<u>63</u> 8	<u>99</u> 8	
1	0	1	3	6	10	15	•••
	1	2	3	4	5	6	r

 $\delta_{j,\{k,k'\}}^{(2)} = 2 - \delta_{j,|k-k'|} - \delta_{j,k+k'}$

$\mathcal W\text{-}\mathsf{Extended}$ Boundary Conditions

- Critical dense polymers in the *W*-extended picture is identified with symplectic fermions.
- The integrable boundary condition associated to the \mathcal{W} -vacuum is

$$(1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} := \lim_{n \to \infty} (2n-1,1) \otimes (2n-1,1) \otimes (2n-1,1) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n-1) (2n-1,1)$$

• Using stability, the extended fusion $\widehat{\otimes}$ is defined by

$$(1,1)_{\mathcal{W}}\widehat{\otimes}(1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} := \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{(2n-1)^3} (2n-1,1) \otimes (2n-1,1) \otimes (2n-1,1) \otimes (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} \right) = (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}}$$

$$(2m-1,1)\otimes(1,1)_{\mathcal{W}}=(2m-1)\left(igoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(2n-1
ight)\left(2n-1,1
ight)
ight)=(2m-1)\left(1,1
ight)_{\mathcal{W}}$$

• The *W*-representation content is 4 *W*-irreducible and 2 *W*-reducible yet *W*-indecomposable representations:

$$(1,s)_{\mathcal{W}} := (1,s) \otimes (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n=1\\\infty\\n=1\\\infty}}^{\infty} (2n-1)(2n-1,s), \quad s = 1,2$$
$$(2,s)_{\mathcal{W}} := \frac{1}{2}(2,s) \otimes (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n=1\\n=1\\n=1}}^{\infty} 2n(2n,s), \quad s = 1,2$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \equiv (\mathcal{R}_{1})_{\mathcal{W}} := \mathcal{R}_{1} \otimes (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n=1\\n=1\\\infty\\n=1}}^{\infty} (2n-1)\mathcal{R}_{2n-1}$$
$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{0} \equiv (\mathcal{R}_{2})_{\mathcal{W}} := \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_{2} \otimes (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{n=1\\n=1\\n=1}}^{\infty} 2n\mathcal{R}_{2n}$$

These give solutions to the BYBE since these equations are closed under fusions.

Symplectic Fermion WLM(1,2) Fusion Rules

• The W-extended fusion rules follow from the Virasoro fusion rules. The extended fusion rules and characters agree with Gaberdiel and Runkel (2008):

$\widehat{\otimes}$	0	1	$-\frac{1}{8}$	<u>3</u> 8	$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0$	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_1$
0	0	1	$-\frac{1}{8}$	<u>3</u> 8	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0$	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_1$
1	1	0	<u>3</u> 8	$-\frac{1}{8}$	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_1$	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0$
$-\frac{1}{8}$	$-\frac{1}{8}$	<u>3</u> 8	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0$	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_1$	$2(-\frac{1}{8}) + 2(\frac{3}{8})$	$2(-\frac{1}{8}) + 2(\frac{3}{8})$
<u>3</u> 8	<u>3</u> 8	$-\frac{1}{8}$	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_1$	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0$	$2(-\frac{1}{8}) + 2(\frac{3}{8})$	$2(-\frac{1}{8}) + 2(\frac{3}{8})$
$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0$	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0$	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_1$	$2(-\frac{1}{8}) + 2(\frac{3}{8})$	$2(-\frac{1}{8}) + 2(\frac{3}{8})$	$2\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 + 2\hat{\mathcal{R}}_1$	$2\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 + 2\hat{\mathcal{R}}_1$
$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_1$	$\left\ \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_1 \right\ $	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_0$	$2(-\frac{1}{8}) + 2(\frac{3}{8})$	$2(-\frac{1}{8}) + 2(\frac{3}{8})$	$2\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 + 2\hat{\mathcal{R}}_1$	$2\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 + 2\hat{\mathcal{R}}_1$

Example: Consider the extended fusion rule $1 \otimes 1 = 0$:

$$(2,1)_{\mathcal{W}} \widehat{\otimes} (2,1)_{\mathcal{W}} := \left(\frac{1}{2} (2,1) \otimes (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} \right) \widehat{\otimes} \left(\frac{1}{2} (2,1) \otimes (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left((2,1) \otimes (2,1) \right) \otimes \left((1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} \widehat{\otimes} (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left((1,1) \oplus (3,1) \right) \otimes (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} = \frac{1}{4} (1+3) (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}} = (1,1)_{\mathcal{W}}$$

This follows since the extended vacuum has the stability property

$$(2m-1,1)\otimes(1,1)_{\mathcal{W}}=(2m-1)\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}(2n-1)(2n-1,1)\right)=(2m-1)(1,1)_{\mathcal{W}}$$

Representation Content of WLM(p, p')

	$\mathcal{WLM}(p,p')$	Symplectic Fermions	$\mathcal{WLM}(1,p)$	Critical Percolation
$\mathcal W$ -reps	6pp'-2p-2p'	6	4 <i>p</i> – 2	26
Rank 1	2p + 2p' - 2	4	2p	8
Rank 2	4pp'-2p-2p'	2	2p - 2	14
Rank 3	2(p-1)(p'-1)	0	0	4
W-irred chars	$2pp' + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p'-1)$	4	2p	13

• Kac tables of 4 and 13 *W*-irreducible characters for symplectic fermions and critical percolation:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} s \\ 3 \\ \hline \frac{1}{3}, \frac{10}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{24}, \frac{35}{24} \\ 2 \\ 1, 5 \\ \hline \frac{1}{8}, \frac{21}{8} \\ 1 \\ (0) 2, 7 \\ \hline \frac{5}{8}, \frac{33}{8} \\ \end{array}$$

• The irreducible representation (0) with character $\hat{\chi}_0(q) = 1$ has no conjugate boundary condition. Similarly for (1), (2), (5), (7).

• The fusion algebra of critical percolation has no identity!

• The usual $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(p'-1)$ rational minimal representations re-emerge!

W-Ireducible Characters of Critical Percolation

• *W*-irreducible representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_{\frac{1}{3}}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2k-1)q^{3(4k-3)^2/8} \\ \hat{\chi}_{\frac{10}{3}}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2k q^{3(4k-1)^2/8} \\ \hat{\chi}_{\frac{10}{3}}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2k q^{3(4k-1)^2/8} \\ \hat{\chi}_{\frac{10}{3}}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2k-1) q^{(6k-5)^2/6} \\ \hat{\chi}_{\frac{1}{8}}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2k-1) q^{(6k-5)^2/6} \\ \hat{\chi}_{\frac{5}{8}}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2k-1) q^{(6k-4)^2/6} \\ \hat{\chi}_{\frac{35}{24}}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2k q^{(6k-3)^2/6} \\ \hat{\chi}_{\frac{35}{24}}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2k q^{(6k-3)^2/6} \end{aligned}$$

• From subfactors of W-reducible yet W-indecomposable representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_{0}(q) &= 1 \\ \hat{\chi}_{1}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^{2} \Big[q^{(12k-7)^{2}/24} - q^{(12k+1)^{2}/24} \Big] \\ \hat{\chi}_{2}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^{2} \Big[q^{(12k-5)^{2}/24} - q^{(12k-1)^{2}/24} \Big] \\ \hat{\chi}_{5}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k(k+1) \Big[q^{(12k-1)^{2}/24} - q^{(12k+7)^{2}/24} \Big] \\ \hat{\chi}_{7}(q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k(k+1) \Big[q^{(12k+1)^{2}/24} - q^{(12k+5)^{2}/24} \Big] \end{aligned}$$

• These agree with Feigin, Gainutdinov, Semikhatov and Tipunin (2005).

Summary of Virasoro and W-Extended Pictures

• Representation Content:

Reps	Dense Polymers/ Symp Fermions	$\mathcal{LM}(1,p)$	Percolation	$\mathcal{LM}(p,p')$
Vir	∞	∞	∞	∞
\mathcal{W}	6	4p - 2	26	6pp'-2p-2p'

• Empirical Virasoro fusion rules for $\mathcal{LM}(p, p')$:

Checks: $\begin{cases} 1. \ \mathcal{LM}(p,p') \text{ fusion rules agree with level-by-level fusion rules of} \\ \text{Eberle and Flohr (2006) using the Nahm (1994) algorithm.} \\ 2. \ \text{Vertical sub-fusion algebras agree with Read and Saleur (2007).} \end{cases}$

- 3. Associativity.

• Inferred \mathcal{W} -algebra fusion rules for $\mathcal{WLM}(p,p')$:

- 1. $\mathcal{WLM}(1,p)$ fusion rules agree with Gaberdiel and Kausch (1996) and Gaberdiel and Runkel (2008).

- Checks: $\begin{cases} 2. & \mathcal{WLM}(p,p') \text{ characters agree with Feigin et al (2006).} \\ 3. & \text{Associativity.} \\ 4. & \mathcal{WLM}(2,3) \text{ percolation fusion confirmed "from within CFT" by} \end{cases}$ Gaberdiel, Runkel and Wood (2009).

Symplectic Fermion $\mathcal{WLM}(1,2)$ Grothendieck Ring

• The partition functions are blind to indecomposability.

• Symplectic fermions has 4 rank-1 irreducible representations with distinct characters: $\hat{\chi}_0(q), \ \hat{\chi}_{-1/8}(q), \ \hat{\chi}_1(q), \ \hat{\chi}_{3/8}(q)$ with $\chi[\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0](q) = \chi[\hat{\mathcal{R}}_1](q) = 2\hat{\chi}_0(q) + 2\hat{\chi}_1(q)$.

• The Grothendieck ring is the quotient fusion algebra under identifications modulo indecomposable structures. Informally, it is the *"fusion ring of irreducible characters"*.

• The four fusion matrices of the graph fusion algebra can be read off:

$$N_{1} = N_{(0)} = I, \ N_{2} = N_{\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ N_{3} = N_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ N_{4} = N_{\left(\frac{3}{8}\right)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$N_{1} = N_{2}, \ N_{2}N_{2} = 2N_{1} + 2N_{3}, \ \text{etc} \qquad N_{r,s} = \cos^{r-1}p\theta \frac{\sin s\theta}{\sin \theta} = q \text{-dims}, \ \theta = \pi/p$$

The fusion matrices are mutually commuting but not symmetric and *not diagonalizable!*

Modular Matrix of WLM(1,p)

- For rational theories, the modular matrix "diagonalizes the fusion rules".
- But here the 2p irreducible characters do not close under modular transformations

$$\hat{\chi}_{r,s}(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2j+r) q^{p(j+\frac{rp-s}{2p})^2}, \qquad r = 1, 2; \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

• Closure is achieved by adding p-1 pseudocharacters [Feigin Et Al (2006)]

$$\hat{\chi}_{0,b}(q) = i\tau [b\,\hat{\chi}_{1,p-b}(q) - (p-b)\hat{\chi}_{2,b}(q)], \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \qquad q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$$

• The 3p-1 dimensional S-matrix is $(S^2 = I, S \neq S^T)$

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{r,s}^{r',s'} & S_{r,s}^{0,b'} \\ S_{0,b}^{r',s'} & S_{0,b}^{0,b'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(2-\delta_{s',p})(-1)^{rs'+r's+rr'p}s\cos\frac{ss'\pi}{p}}{p\sqrt{2p}} & \frac{2(-1)^{rb'}\sin\frac{sb'\pi}{p}}{p\sqrt{2p}} \\ \frac{2(-1)^{r'b}(p-s')\sin\frac{bs'\pi}{p}}{\sqrt{2p}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_{r,s}^{1,p-b} = S_{r,s}^{2,b}$$

• Gaberdiel and Runkel introduce the *improper* τ -dependent 2p dimensional "S-matrix"

$$S(\tau) = \left(S_{r,s}^{r',s'}\right) - i\tau\left(T_{r,s}^{r',s'}\right), \qquad T_{r,s}^{r',s'} = \frac{2(-1)^{rs'+r+rr'p}(p-s')\sin\frac{ss'\pi}{p}}{p\sqrt{2p}}$$

• The matrices S and $\mathcal{S}(\tau)$ contain the same "modular data" since

$$(p-b)T_{r,s}^{1,p-b} = -bT_{r,s}^{2,b}$$
$$T_{r,s}^{1,b'} = -(p-b')S_{r,s}^{0,p-b'}, \qquad T_{r,s}^{2,b'} = (p-b')S_{r,s}^{0,b'}, \qquad T_{r,s}^{r',p} = 0$$

Jordan Form of the Fundamental Matrix

• The p+1 distinct eigenvalues of the fundamental N_2 are

$$\beta_j = 2\cos\theta_j, \qquad \theta_j = \frac{j\pi}{p}, \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, p$$

• The Jordan canonical form of N_2 consists of:

	Block	Eigenvalue (q -dim)	Eigenvector
one rank-1 cell:	(2),	$\beta_0 = \frac{S_{1,2}^{1,p}}{S_{1,1}^{1,p}} = 2$	v_0
p-1 rank-2 cells:	$egin{pmatrix} eta_b & 1 \ 0 & eta_b \end{pmatrix},$	$\beta_b = \frac{S_{1,2}^{0,b}}{S_{1,1}^{0,b}}, b = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$	$oldsymbol{v}_b$
one rank-1 cell:	(-2),	$\beta_p = \frac{S_{1,2}^{2,p}}{S_{1,1}^{2,p}} = -2$	$oldsymbol{v}_p$

Eigenvectors v_j and generalized eigenvectors w_j are given by

 $[v_0]_{r,s} = S_{r,s}^{1,p}, \qquad [v_p]_{r,s} = S_{r,s}^{2,p}, \qquad [v_b]_{r,s} = S_{r,s}^{0,b}, \qquad [w_b]_{r,s} = S_{r,s}^{2,b}$

with the Jordan chain

$$N_2(\boldsymbol{v}_b \,|\, \boldsymbol{w}_b) = (\boldsymbol{v}_b \,|\, \boldsymbol{w}_b) \begin{pmatrix} \beta_b & -2\sin\theta_b \\ 0 & \beta_b \end{pmatrix} = (\boldsymbol{v}_b \,|\, \boldsymbol{w}_b) 2\cos\begin{pmatrix} \theta_b & 1 \\ 0 & \theta_b \end{pmatrix}, \qquad b = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$$

since

$$f\begin{pmatrix}\lambda & 1\\ 0 & \lambda\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}f(\lambda) & f'(\lambda)\\ 0 & f(\lambda)\end{pmatrix}$$

Verlinde Formula for WLM(1,p)

• The modular data simultaneously brings the fusion matrices $N_j = N_{r,s}$ to Jordan form with

$$Q^{-1}N_{r,s}Q = f_{r,s}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(2,\ldots,2\cos\left(\begin{array}{c}\theta_{b} & 1\\ 0 & \theta_{b}\end{array}\right),\ldots,-2\right)\right)$$

This is not strict Jordan canonical form. The matrices Q and Q^{-1} are

$$Q = \left(S_{r,s}^{1,p} \left| \dots \right| S_{r,s}^{0,b} \left| S_{r,s}^{2,b} \right| \dots \left| S_{r,s}^{2,p} \right\rangle, \quad Q^{-1} = \left(\frac{S_{1,p}^{1,p}}{S_{1,1}^{1,p}} S_{1,p}^{r,s} \right| \dots \left| S_{0,b}^{r,s} \left| \frac{S_{1,p}^{1,p}}{S_{1,b}^{1,p}} S_{2,b}^{r,s} \right| \dots \left| \frac{S_{1,p}^{1,p}}{S_{1,1}^{1,p}} S_{2,p}^{r,s} \right|^{T}$$

• The Verlinde formula is given by the "*spectral decomposition*" of the fusion matrices

$$[N_{r,s}]_{r',s'}^{r'',s''} = \left[S_{r',s'}^{1,p}F_{r,s}^{1,p}S_{1,p}^{r'',s''} + \sum_{b=1}^{p-1}S_{r',s'}^{2,b}F_{r,s}^{2,b}S_{2,b}^{r'',s''} + S_{r',s'}^{2,p}F_{r,s}^{2,p}S_{2,p}^{r'',s''}\right] \\ + \left[\sum_{b=1}^{p-1}S_{r',s'}^{0,b}F_{r,s}^{0,b}S_{0,b}^{r'',s''}\right] + \left[\sum_{b=1}^{p-1}S_{r',s'}^{0,b}F_{r,s}^{0,b}S_{2,b}^{r'',s''}\right]$$

$$F_{r,s}^{1,p} = \frac{S_{1,p}^{1,p}S_{r,s}^{1,p}}{(S_{1,1}^{1,p})^2}, \qquad F_{r,s}^{2,b} = \frac{S_{1,p}^{1,p}S_{r,s}^{0,b}}{S_{1,b}^{1,p}S_{1,1}^{0,b}}, \qquad F_{r,s}^{2,p} = \frac{S_{1,p}^{1,p}S_{r,s}^{2,p}}{S_{1,1}^{1,p}S_{1,1}^{2,p}}$$

$$F_{r,s}^{0,b} = \frac{S_{r,s}^{0,b}}{S_{1,1}^{0,b}}, \qquad F_{r,s}^{0,b;2,b} = \frac{S_{1,p}^{1,p}(S_{1,1}^{0,b}S_{r,s}^{2,b} - S_{1,1}^{2,b}S_{r,s}^{0,b})}{S_{1,b}^{1,p}(S_{1,1}^{1,p}(S_{1,1}^{0,b})^2)}$$

• After some manipulation, this agrees with a similar formula in terms of the τ -dependent *S*-matrix conjectured by Gaberdiel and Runkel (2007).

$\mathcal{W}\text{-}\mathsf{Projective}$ Representations

• A W-projective representation is a "maximal" W-indecomposable representation in the sense that it does not appear as a subfactor of any other W-indecomposable representation.

• Symplectic fermions has 4 projective representations -1/8, 3/8, $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0$ and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_1$ with 3 distinct characters $\hat{\chi}_{-1/8}(q)$, $\hat{\chi}_{3/8}(q)$ and $\chi[\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0](q) = \chi[\hat{\mathcal{R}}_1](q) = 2\hat{\chi}_0(q) + 2\hat{\chi}_1(q)$.

• The W-projective representations form a closed sub-fusion algebra Proj(p, p') of the $\mathcal{WLM}(p, p')$ fusion algebra.

	Reps	$\mathcal{WLM}(p,p')$	Symplectic Fermions	Critical Percolation	
$\mathcal W$ -proj reps	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{r,s}_{\kappa p,p'}$	2pp'	4	12	
Rank 1	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{0,0}_{\kappa p,p'}\equiv (\kappa p,p')_{\mathcal{W}}$	2	2	2	
Rank 2	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{a, 0}_{\kappa p, p'}, \; \widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{0, b}_{p, \kappa p'}$	2(p + p' - 2)	2	6	
Rank 3	$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{a,b}_{\kappa p,p'}$	$2(p-1)(p^\prime-1)$	0	4	
$\mathcal W$ -proj chars	$arkappa_k$	$\frac{1}{2}(p+1)(p'+1)$	3	6	

• The \mathcal{W} -projective representation content is:

$$(\kappa p, p')_{\mathcal{W}} = (p, \kappa p')_{\mathcal{W}}, \qquad \widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{a,b}_{\kappa p, p'} = \widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{a,b}_{p,\kappa p'}$$

$$\kappa = 1, 2; \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, p-1; \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, p'-1; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(p+1)(p'+1)$$

$$r = 0, 1, \dots, p; \qquad s = 0, 1, \dots, p'$$

$\mathcal{W}\text{-}\mathsf{Projective}$ Characters and Grothendieck Ring

• The characters of the $2pp' \mathcal{W}$ -projective representations agree with Feigin et al (2006)

$$\begin{aligned} \varkappa_{\kappa p, p'}^{0,0}(q) &\equiv \varkappa \Big[\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\kappa p, p'}^{0,0} \Big](q) &= \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2k - 2 + \kappa) q^{((2k - 2 + \kappa) - 1)^2 p p'/4} \\ \varkappa_{\kappa p, p'}^{a,0}(q) &\equiv \varkappa \Big[\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\kappa p, p'}^{a,0} \Big](q) &= \frac{2}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(a + (2k - 1 + \kappa) p)^2 p'/4 p} \\ \varkappa_{p, \kappa p'}^{0,b}(q) &\equiv \varkappa \Big[\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{p, \kappa p'}^{0,b} \Big](q) &= \frac{2}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(b + (2k - 1 + \kappa) p')^2 p/4 p'} \\ \varkappa_{\kappa p, p'}^{a,b}(q) &\equiv \varkappa \Big[\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\kappa p, p'}^{a,b} \Big](q) &= \frac{2}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(q^{(ap' - bp + (2k + 1 - \kappa) pp')^2 / 4 pp'} + q^{(ap' + bp + (2k + 1 - \kappa) pp')^2 / 4 pp'} \right) \end{aligned}$$

• Only $\frac{1}{2}(p+1)(p'+1)$ of these are linearly independent because of the character identities $\varkappa_{p,p'}^{a,0}(q) = \varkappa_{2p,p'}^{p-a,0}(q), \qquad \varkappa_{p,p'}^{0,b}(q) = \varkappa_{p,2p'}^{0,p'-b}(q), \qquad \varkappa_{(3-\kappa)p,p'}^{a,b}(q) = \varkappa_{\kappa p,p'}^{p-a,b}(q) = \varkappa_{\kappa p,p'}^{a,p'-b}(q)$

• The W-projective fusion algebra Proj(p, p') possesses a Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{PG}(p, p')$ corresponding to the $\frac{1}{2}(p+1)(p'+1)$ independent W-projective characters:

$$\mathcal{PG}(p,p') = \left\langle \varkappa_{k}(q) \Big|_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)(p'+1)} \right\rangle$$

= $\left\langle \varkappa_{p,p'}^{0,0}(q), \varkappa_{2p,p'}^{0,0}(q), \varkappa_{p,p'}^{a,0}(q) \Big|_{a=1}^{p-1}, \varkappa_{p,p'}^{0,b}(q) \Big|_{b=1}^{p'-1}, \varkappa_{p,p'}^{a,b}(q) \Big|_{ap'+bp \le pp'} \right\rangle$

Verlinde Formula and Graph Fusion Algebra

- The modular S matrix of these characters [Feigin et al (2006)] satisfies $S^2 = I$, $S^T \neq S$.
- The modular matrix and quantum dimensions of $\mathcal{PG}(1,p)$ are

$$\sqrt{2p} S_{im} = \frac{S_{im}}{S_{1m}} = (2 - \delta_{i,1} - \delta_{i,p+1}) \cos \frac{(i-1)(m-1)\pi}{p}, \qquad i, m = 1, 2, \dots, p+1$$

• This modular matrix diagonalizes our fusion rules! Specifically, the conformal partition functions and Verlinde formula for the projective Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{PG}(p, p')$ are given by

$$Z_{i|j}(q) = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)(p'+1)} N_{ij}{}^{k}(F\varkappa)_{k}(q), \qquad N_{ij}{}^{k} = \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)(p'+1)} \frac{S_{im}S_{jm}S_{mk}}{S_{1m}}, \qquad N_{1} = I$$

• The fundamental fusion matrix of $\mathcal{PG}(1,p)$ is

$$N_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \qquad A_{(1,p)} = | \underbrace{\longleftarrow }_{1} + \underbrace{\longleftarrow }_{p+1}$$

• For symplectic fermions $\mathcal{PG}(1,2)$, the graph fusion matrices (cf. Ising) are

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = F, \qquad N_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• For $\mathcal{PG}(p, p')$, the fundamental fusion graph is given by a coset-type graph.

Projective Grothendieck Kac Tables

• The conformal weights of the projective Grothendieck characters of $\mathcal{PG}(p, p')$ are

A - D - E - T

• A \mathbb{Z}_2 folding or orbifold of the $A_{(1,p)}$ graphs gives T or D type graphs:

• Indeed, Feigin et al (2006) have found A, D and E_6 modular invariant sesquilinear forms in the characters $\varkappa_k(q) = \varkappa_{r,s}(q)$.

This leads to some intriguing open questions:

1. Is there an A-D-E classification of these logarithmic Verlinde fusion graphs a la Behrend, Pearce, Petkova and Zuber?

2. Is there a corresponding A-D-E classification of the logarithmic modular invariant sesquilinear forms a la Cappelli, Itzykson and Zuber?

- 3. Is there a logarithmic coset construction a la Goddard, Kent and Olive?
- 4. Are there corresponding D and E logarithmic minimal models on the lattice?

Summary

• Representation Content:

Reps	Dense Polymers/ Symp Fermions	$\mathcal{LM}(1,p)$	Percolation
Vir	∞	∞	∞
\mathcal{W}	6	4p - 2	26
${\mathcal W}$ Grothendieck	4	2 <i>p</i>	?
Proj	4	2 <i>p</i>	12
Proj Grothendieck	3	p+1	6

- Grothendieck ring and Verlinde formulas for $\mathcal{WLM}(1,p)$:
 - 1. The \mathcal{W} Grothendieck ring is described by a simple (but non-diagonalizable) graph fusion algebra.
 - 2. The modular data simultaneously brings the fusion matrices to Jordan form.
 - 3. The resulting Verlinde formulas agree with Gaberdiel and Runkel (2007).
- Projective Grothendieck ring and Verlinde formulas for $\mathcal{WLM}(p,p')$:
 - 1. Projective characters agree with Feigin et al (2006). The Grothendieck rings are described by simple graph fusion algebras.
 - 2. Feigin et al modular S matrix diagonalizes our projective Grothendieck fusion rules!
 - 3. Verlinde formulas and graph fusion algebras suggest an A-D-E classification.

Chiral Symplectic Fermions (Kausch 1995)

The central charge of symplectic fermions is c = -2 and the stress-energy tensor is

$$T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2} = \frac{1}{2} d_{\alpha\beta} : \chi^{\alpha}(z) \chi^{\beta}(z) :$$

where $d_{\alpha\beta}$ is the inverse of the anti-symmetric tensor $d^{\alpha\beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta = \pm$.

• The chiral algebra \mathcal{W} is generated by a two-component fermion field

$$\chi^{lpha}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi^{lpha}_n z^{-n-1}, \qquad lpha = \pm$$

of conformal weight $\Delta = 1$. The modes satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$\{\chi_m^{\alpha},\chi_n^{\beta}\} = m d^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{m,-n}$$

• Alternatively, the extended symmetry algebra \mathcal{W} is generated by the Virasoro modes L_n and the modes of a triplet of weight 3 fields W_n^a .

Virasoro Representations and L₀

• In the continuum scaling limit, the transfer matrices give rise to a representation of the Virasoro algebra. Only L_0 is readily accessible from the lattice

Rational Theories:

Irreducible representations are the building blocks for fusion. Fusion closes on the irreducible representations.

Logarithmic Theories:

Kac representations are the building blocks for fusion. Higher rank indecomposable representations arise from fusing Kac representations.

Integrability I: Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE)

• The YBE express the equality of two planar 3-tangles (w = v - u)

• The five possible connectivities of the external nodes give the diagrammatic equations

• The first equation is trivial. The second equation follows from the identity

$$s_{1}(-u)s_{0}(v)s_{1}(-w) = \beta s_{0}(u)s_{1}(-v)s_{0}(w) + s_{0}(u)s_{1}(-v)s_{1}(-w) + s_{1}(-u)s_{1}(-v)s_{0}(w) + s_{0}(u)s_{0}(v)s_{0}(w)$$

$$s_r(u) = \frac{\sin(u + r\lambda)}{\sin \lambda}, \qquad \beta = 2\cos \lambda = \text{loop fugacity}$$